		Honorable James L. Robart
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WA	SHINGTON AT SEATTLE
10	AEROTEL, LTD.; AEROTEL U.S.A., INC.; and AEROTEL U.S.A., LLC,	Case No. C07-1957JLR
11	Plaintiffs,	COMBINED JOINT STATUS REPORT AND DISCOVERY
12	V.	PLAN
13	T-MOBILE USA, INC.,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16		
17	The parties to the above-identified action	jointly submit this Case Management Statement and
18	Proposed Order and request that the Court adopt i	t as its Case Management Order in this case:
19	1. <b>Description Of The Case:</b> Plainti	iff Aerotel, Ltd., Aerotel U.S.A., Inc. and Aerotel
20	U.S.A., LLC (collectively, "Aerotel") bring this a	ction against T-Mobile USA, Inc. ("T-Mobile") to
21	enforce rights in U.S. Patent No. 4,706,275 ("the	'275 patent'') asserting claims of patent
22	_	
23	infringement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (I	
24	System," issued on November 10, 1987 to Zvi Ka	imil and is directed to methods and systems for
25	making prepaid telephone calls. The '275 Patent	expired on November 13, 2005.
26		

Aerotel asserts claims against T-Mobile for infringement of the '275 Patent arising from its marketing, within this Judicial District and elsewhere, of products and services related to prepaid telephone calling cards which allegedly are covered by one or more claims of the '275 Patent. More specifically, the allegedly infringing products and services include prepaid wireless telecommunications products (e.g., prepaid wireless setup and refill cards) and services (e.g., prepaid telephone service using a wireless telephone) including, but not limited to, products marketed by T-Mobile under the brand names "T-Mobile To Go" and "T-Mobile EasySpeak".

The stipulated deadline for T-Mobile to Answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint in this matter is February 11, 2008. T-Mobile disputes, *inter alia*, that any of T-Mobile's past or present products or services infringe any valid and enforceable claim of the '275 patent. T-Mobile further asserts that Aerotel's claims may be barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute of limitations and by the equitable doctrines of estoppel, acquiescence and laches. T-Mobile reserves the right to assert additional defenses and/or affirmative defenses.

- 2. The parties select the following ADR process: Mediation.
- 3. **The date for commencement of ADR process:** The parties agree that mediation should take place by June 19, 2008.
  - 4. **Deadline for joining additional parties:** April 30, 2008
  - 5. The parties agree to the following discovery plan:
    - A. Date of FRCP 26(f) conference: February 4, 2008; initial disclosures will be exchanged on or before February 19, 2008.
    - B. Subjects of Discovery:
      - (i) The '275 patent, including its validity, enforceability and alleged infringement by T-Mobile;

1		(ii) T-Mobile's marketing an	d provision of prepaid wireless
2		telecommunication produ	acts and services, including technical aspects
3		of hardware and software	e used by T-Mobile and/or third-party vendors
4		contracted by T-Mobile t	o provide such products and services; and
5		(iii) T-Mobile's sales, market	ing and financial information (e.g., sales
6		revenues and profits) rela	ating to the allegedly infringing products and
7		services for the determina	ation of damages.
8	C.	The parties agree to the following	schedule to govern fact and expert discovery:
9		Event	Date
10		(i) Fact discovery completed	September 30, 2008
11		(ii) Opening expert reports	30 days after <i>Markman</i>
12		(based on burden of proof)	decision
13		(iii) Rebuttal expert reports	30 days thereafter
14		(iv) Complete expert discovery	90 days after <i>Markman</i>
15			decision
16			
17		·	e parties agree to exchange documents in
18			The parties agree that service by electronic
19	1	means shall be allowed as set fort	th in Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(E) and that such
20		service shall be complete upon tra	ansmission, provided that the sender does not
21	1	receive any indication that such	n electronic transmission was unsuccessful.
22	,	Where electronic service is not pra	acticable, the parties agree that service will be
23		accomplished via overnight delive	ry.
24	E.	Additional Orders: The partie	es submit herewith a proposed Stipulated
25		Protective Order pursuant to FRCF	226(c) for entry by the Court.
26	F.	Markman Hearing: In accordan	ce with Court's Standing Order for Patent

	Coord	the mention course to the fo	llauring deadlines relating to a Claim
1	Cases, the parties agree to the following deadlines relating to a Claim		
2	Constr	uction Hearing ("Markman Hea	ring^):
3	Even	t	Date
4	(i)	Preliminary Infringement	February 25, 2008
5		entions & Disclosure of ted Claims	,
6	(ii)	Disclosure of Preliminary	March 17, 2008
7	II .	idity Contentions	
8	(iii)	Expert Witness Reports on	April 14, 2008
9	Mark	man issues (if necessary)	
10	III	Rebuttal Expert Witness Report arkman Hearings (if necessary)	May 14, 2008
11			N. 27 2000
12	(v)	Preliminary Claim Chart	May 27, 2008
13	` ` ´ ·	Joint Claim Chart and earing Statement	June 26, 2008
14			July 10, 2008
15	II	Opening <i>Markman</i> Briefs ages per side)	July 10, 2008
16	(viii)	Response <i>Markman</i> Briefs	July 24, 2008
17	(24 pa	ages per side)	
18	(ix)	Markman Hearing	August 19, 2008
19	6. <b>Discovery Co</b>	<b>mpletion Date</b> : 90 days	after Markman decision
20	7. Assignment t	o Magistrate: The par	ties do not consent to the assignment of
21	this case to a U.S. Magistrate	Judge for trial.	
22	8. <b>Bifurcation</b> :	The par	ties agree that the case should be tried
23	without bifurcation.		
24	9. <b>Pre-Trial O</b> re	der: The par	ties agree that pretrial statements and a
25	pretrial order should be submitted in accordance with the Local Rules.		
26			

1			
2	10.	Suggestions for shortening	g or simplifying the case: None known at this time.
3	11.	Trial Ready Date:	March 31, 2009
4	12.	Jury Trial. The parties rec	quest a trial by jury.
5	13.	Number of Trial Days:	The parties expect that the trial will last for four (4) to
6	five co	ourt (5) days.	
7	14.	Trial Counsel:	
8		For Aerotel:	Robert C. Morgan Ropes & Gray LLP
9			1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-8704
10			(212) 596-9049
11			Glenn F. Ostrager Dennis M. Flaherty
12			Ostrager Chong Flaherty & Broitman P.C.
13			570 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022-6894 (212) 681-0600
4			(212) 001-0000
15		For T-Mobile:	Shannon M. Jost Scott A. W. Johnson
16			Stokes Lawrence, P.S. 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4000
17			Seattle, Washington 98104-3179 (206) 626-6000
18	///		(200) 020-0000
9	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		

1	DATED: February 6, 2008	Respectfully submitted,
2		TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP
3		Drug /o/ Starrag D. Friels
4	D	By: /s/ Steven P. Fricke Steven P. Fricke (State Bar No. 25070) 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4400
5		Seattle, WA 98101-2325 Telephone: (206) 467-9600 Fax: (206) 623-6793
6		
7		E-Mail: spfricke@townsend.com
8		Robert C. Morgan ( <i>Of Counsel</i> ) Jeanne C. Curtis ( <i>Of Counsel</i> )
9		ROPES & GRAY LLP 1211 Avenue of the Americas
10		New York, New York 10036-8704 (212) 596-9049
11		Glenn F. Ostrager ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
12		Dennis M. Flaherty ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Joshua S. Broitman ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY
13		& BROITMAN P.C.
14		570 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022-6894 (212) 681-0600
15		
16		Attorneys for Aerotel, Ltd., Aerotel U.S.A., Inc. and Aerotel U.S.A., LLC
17		
18		STOKES LAWRENCE, P.S.
19		
20	Shannon M. Jost (WSBA #32511) Scott A.W. Johnson (WSBA #15543) 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4000 Seattle, Washington, 98104-3179 (206) 626-6000  Attorneys for T-Mobile USA, Inc.	
21		Scott A.W. Johnson (WSBA #15543)
22		Seattle, Washington, 98104-3179
23		
24		Attorneys for 1-Mobile USA, Inc.
25		
26		

1	CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER	
2		
3	The Combined Joint Status and Discovery Plan is hereby adopted by the Court as the Case Management Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order. In addition the	
4	Court orders:	
5		
6	SO ORDERED this day of, 2008	
7		
8		
9	James L. Robart	
10	United States District Judge	
11		
12		
13	Presented by: TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP	
14		
15	Pro /c/ Stayon D. Frieko	
16	By: /s/ Steven P. Fricke Steven P. Fricke (State Bar No. 25070) 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4400	
17	Seattle, WA 98101-2325 Telephone: (206) 467-9600	
18	Fax: (206) 623-6793 E-Mail: spfricke@townsend.com	
19	E-Man. spinicke@townsend.com	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on February 6, 2008, I caused the foregoing Combined Joint Status and Discovery Plan to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following: Scott A.W. Johnson scott.johnson@stokeslaw.com Shannon M. Jost Shannon.jost@stokeslaw.com /s/ Steven P. Fricke Steven P. Fricke 61276842 v1